

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,222	07/16/2003	Svein T. Vaage	PGS-02-16US 1222	
759	0 04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
E. Eugene Thigpen			LOBO, IAN J	
Petroleum Geo-Services, Inc. P.O. Box 42805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77242-2805			3662	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,222	VAAGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	lan J. Lobo	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED FOR INC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the E e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See action is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/22/06. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/621,222 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 14, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Robertsson et al ('618) when taken in view of either one of the Fokkema et al patents ('913, '470)

Per independent claims 1, 10, 16, and 26, Robertsson et al discloses a system, method and computer program for deghosting and water surface multiple reflection attenuation using pressure and vertical particle motion data. Robertsson et al discloses that a spatial filter is designed to effectively separate the up-going and down-going wavefield components of the seismic data.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,222

Art Unit: 3662

The difference between claims 1,10, 16 and 26 and Robertsson et al is the claims all specify a decomposition step (i.e, the spatial filtering step of Robertsson et al) taking place in the spatial Fourier domain and then inverse transforming the up-going component into the spatial frequency domain.

The two patents to Fokkema et al each disclose a method for enhancing seismic data by deghosting and attenuating water surface multiple reflections. Specifically, and with respect to the instant invention, it is taught (i.e, '913, col. 11, lines 62-64, col. 15, lines 1-20) that deghosting in the spatial Fourier domain provides the advantage of deghosting being actualized by simple multiplication and division.

Thus, in view of the teachings of Fokkema et al, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Robertsson et al by utilizing a spatial Fourier transform to transform the pressure and vertical particle motion data into the spatial Fourier domain, thereby effectively separating the up-going and down-going wavefield components, and then inverse transforming the filtered or separated data back to the time domain. Such a modification to Robertsson et al would provide greater multiples attenuation in a simpler manner. Independent claims 1, 10, 16 and 26 are so rejected.

Per claim 33, see col. 2, lines 48+, wherein it is disclosed that one advantage of Robertsson et al is the insensitivity of the technique to streamer depth, thus allowing the streamer to be towed.

Dependent claims 2-9, 11-15, 17-25, 27-32 and 34-42 are further provided by the above combination of prior art.

Art Unit: 3662

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3662